#### \*\*\* Public Review Draft \*\*\*

# Guidance for Waiving Acute Dermal Toxicity Tests for Pesticide Technical Chemicals & Supporting Retrospective Analysis

Issued By: Office of Pesticide Programs

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention United States Environmental Protection Agency

Date of Issuance: September 2020

Unique ID: EPA 705-G-2020-3722

Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0093

Related Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq. The overall purpose of this analysis is to address

the utility of the acute dermal toxicity study for single technical chemicals in pesticide labelling, such as the signal word and

precautionary statements as described in 40 CFR 156.64 and 40 CFR

156.70.

**Non-Binding Disclaimer:** The contents of this guidance document do not have the force and

effect of law and that the Agency does not intend to bind the public in any way and intends only to provide clarity to the public regarding

existing requirements under the law or Agency policies. If the guidance document is binding because it is authorized by law or because the guidance is incorporated into a contract, the EPA will

make that clear in the document.

## Guidance for Waiving Acute Dermal Toxicity Tests for Pesticide Technical Chemicals & Supporting Retrospective Analysis

#### 1.0 Introduction

This guidance document follows upon the final <u>dermal waiver guidance published in November 2016</u> for pesticide formulations. This document expands the potential for data waivers for acute dermal studies to single active ingredient technical chemicals (technical chemicals) used to formulate end user products. The reasoning and analysis in this dermal waiver guidance for technical chemicals is similar to what was presented in the 2016 guidance for end-use products. While more acute toxicity studies are submitted to OPP annually for formulated pesticide products than for technical chemicals, there is still the potential for animal and resource savings from waivers for technical chemical acute toxicity studies. Further, this guidance allows OPP to harmonize with the Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) of Canada, which published guidance<sup>1</sup> on dermal waivers for both formulations and technical chemicals in 2017.

OPP and the National Toxicology Program (NTP) Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods (NICEATM) have conducted a retrospective analysis of oral and dermal acute lethality studies that fit the regulatory context relevant for OPP, and considered the EPA pesticide categorization scheme, which uses acute study results (see 40 CFR 156.212 and *OPP Label Review Manual*<sup>2</sup>). The OPP/NICEATM analysis was designed to evaluate the relative consistency of the findings of paired oral and dermal studies for technical chemicals (Section 2.0). *The Agency has used this analysis to support a policy statement in Section 5.0 to waive all acute lethality dermal studies for pesticide technical chemicals*.

The 2016 guidance focused on formulated pesticide product testing because ecological risk assessments for endangered and threatened species typically rely in part on acute studies for the technical chemical. After further consideration of these data needs, EPA has determined that the Agency is now able to provide waivers for acute dermal studies for technical chemicals.

#### 2.0 Dataset for Analysis

The Agency developed a dataset of rat acute oral and acute dermal LD<sub>50</sub> studies for 249 active ingredients. The spreadsheet of data used in the analysis is provided in *Dermal Data Spreadsheet for Pesticide Active Ingredient Technical Chemicals Final.xlsx*, and is available in the <u>docket</u><sup>3</sup>. The active ingredients include conventional pesticides, antimicrobials, and biopesticides across numerous chemical classes and Toxicity Categories (Appendix 1). Fumigants and rodenticides were excluded because of their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/documents/services/consumer-product-safety/reports-publications/pesticides-pest-management/policies-guidelines/science-policy-notes/2017/acute-dermal-toxicity-waiver-spn2017-03-eng.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chapter 7: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-04/documents/chap-07-mar-2018.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0093

physical forms and the types of exposures that would be anticipated; this policy does not apply to these types of pesticides.

#### 3.0 Comparison of Toxicity Category Between Oral and Dermal Studies

As shown in the blue boxes in Table 1 below, for 167 of the 249 technical chemicals, the paired oral and dermal studies provide the same Toxicity Category. For 80 chemicals, the oral study provides a lower (i.e., more potent) category than the dermal study (grey boxes).

Table 1. Results of comparison analysis for oral & dermal technical chemical acute studies						
Rat Dermal Hazard Category (mg/kg)	Rat Oral Hazard Category (mg/kg)					
	EPA I	EPA II	EPA III	EPA IV		
	≤50	>50 – ≤500	>500 – ≤5000	>5000		
EPA I ≤200	10	1	0	0		
EPA II >200 – ≤2000	6	15	1	0		
EPA III >2000 – ≤5000	4	40	114	0		
EPA IV >5000	2	6	22	28		
Total	22	62	137	28		

For 2 chemicals, the dermal study provides a lower (i.e., more potent) Category than the oral study (yellow boxes). One chemical (xylenol) had a Toxicity Category II for dermal ( $LD_{50}$ : 1040 mg/kg), and Toxicity Category III for oral ( $LD_{50}$ : 3200 mg/kg) (*i.e.*, a more potent Category for dermal compared to oral) and one chemical, dichlorvos (DDVP), in the dataset has a Toxicity Category I for dermal ( $LD_{50}$ : 75 mg/kg) and a Toxicity Category II for oral ( $LD_{50}$  56 mg/kg). EPA's Label Review Manual<sup>4</sup> provides information on how acute toxicity information is used in pesticide labeling, including the hazard statements, signal word, first aid, and precautionary statements that appear on technical labels. The results from all six acute toxicity tests are considered, and the lowest category determines the signal word, whereas the other precautionary/first aid statements are determined by the category for each endpoint.

Acute studies are primarily used by the Agency to determine the appropriate level of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), hazard labeling, first aid, and precautionary statements for all product labels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/label-review-manual

### 4.0 Discussion - Implications of Retrospective Analysis on Utility of Acute Dermal Technical Product Lethality Studies

The overall purpose of this analysis is to address the utility of the acute dermal toxicity study for single technical chemicals in pesticide labelling, such as the signal word and precautionary statements as described in 40 CFR 156.64 and 40 CFR 156.70. To this end, this analysis includes a large number of technical chemicals (249) from numerous chemical classes representing conventional pesticides, antimicrobials, and biopesticides. This guidance expands upon the work of the dermal waiver guidance published in November 2016 for pesticide formulations.

For 67% of the 249 technical chemicals, the results of both oral and dermal acute toxicity studies fall within the same Toxicity Category. For 32% of the chemicals, the oral study falls within a lower (i.e., more protective) Toxicity Category; thus, for 99% of the chemicals in the analysis, if the dermal study had not been available, and labelling had been based only on the Toxicity Category for the oral acute toxicity study, the labelling requirements would have been equally or more protective. For the two remaining chemicals (less than 1%), as noted above, factors other than the dermal acute toxicity may influence labelling requirements. In some cases, dermal irritation/corrosion studies or risk management decisions based on other factors may result in label requirements more protective than what would otherwise be required based on acute oral toxicity alone. When all these sources of information are considered together, in most cases, the dermal acute toxicity study for technical chemicals provides little to no added value in regulatory decision making.

#### 5.0 Waiver Guidance

The Agency believes this retrospective analysis fully supports the conclusion that waivers may be granted for acute dermal toxicity studies for pesticide technical chemicals except for fumigants and rodenticides which were excluded because of their physical forms and the types of exposures that would be anticipated. Waivers may be accepted for fumigants and rodenticides but on a case by case basis with appropriate scientific rationale. Applicants should submit formal waiver requests as part of their registration application through existing processes and cite this guidance. The Agency maintains the ability to request acute dermal toxicity data on a case by case basis. The Agency anticipates allowing the waiver in most cases, however, a determination that a waiver request is unacceptable will be made upon consultation with the Agency's relevant internal peer review groups (e.g., Hazard and Science Policy Committee (HASPOC) and Chemistry and Acute Toxicity Science Advisory Committee (CATSAC)) and/or OPP's science advisor.

### **Appendix 1.** List of Active Ingredients in the Retrospective Analysis

a-C11-15-sec-alkyl-omega-	Benfuracarb
hydroxypoly(oxy-1,2- ethanediyl)	Bentazone
	bifenthrin
Acephate	
Acetochlor	Bispyribac-sodium
Acibenzolar-S-methyl (CGA	Bitertanol (KWG 0599)
245704)	Bromoxynil
Aclonifen	Bromuconazole
Alachlor	Buprofezin
Aldicarb	Butralin
Alpha cypermethrin	Captan
Ametryn	Carbaryl
Amidosulfuron	Carbofuran
aminopyralid (xde-750)	Carbosulfan
Ammonium bromide	
7 minionium broniuc	Chlorfenapyr
Ammonium chloride	Chloridazon
Ammonium sulfate	Chlorpropham
Antimycin-a	Chlorpyrifos
asana (esfenvalerate)	Cinidon ethyl
Atrazine	Citral
Azinphos-methyl	Clodinafop-propargyl
bcs-aa10717 herbicide	Clomazone
(indaziflam)	
Benalaxyl	Copper as elemental
Benalaxyl-M	Copper carbonate, basic
Benfluralin	Copper compounds
	hydroxypoly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)  Acephate  Acetochlor  Acibenzolar-S-methyl (CGA 245704)  Aclonifen  Alachlor  Aldicarb  Alpha cypermethrin  Ametryn  Amidosulfuron  aminopyralid (xde-750)  Ammonium bromide  Ammonium chloride  Ammonium sulfate  Antimycin-a  asana (esfenvalerate)  Atrazine  Azinphos-methyl  bcs-aa10717 herbicide (indaziflam)  Benalaxyl  Benalaxyl-M

Cupric oxide	Diquat	Flufenacet
Cuprous oxide	Disulfoton (S 276)	flufenpyr-ethyl-s-3153
Cyclanilide	Diuron	flumethrin
Cyfluthrin	dpx-kjm44 herbicide	Fluopicolide
Cymoxanil	(aminocyclopyrachlor- methyl)	Fluopyram
Cypermethrin	emamectin benzoate	Fluoxastrobin
Cyproconazole technical	Endosulfan	Fluroxypyr
Cyprodinil	Epoxiconazole	Flurprimidol
Cyromazine	Ethephon	Flusilazole
Daminozide	Ethoprophos	Flutolanil
Deltamethrin	Ethoxysulfuron	Folpet
Diazinon	Famoxadone	Forchlorfenuron
Dicamba	Fenamiphos	Formetanate
Dichloroisocyanuric acid,	Fenarimol	Fosthiazate
sodium salt, dihydrate	Fenhexamid	Fuberidazole
Dichlorprop-P	Fenitrothion	Furfural
Dichlorvos	Fenoxaprop	Glufosinate
Diclofop-Methyl	Fenpropidin	Glyphosate
Dimethachlor	Fenpropimorph	Glyphosate trimesium
Dimethenamid	Fenpyroximate	Haloxyfop-R
Dimethoate	Fenthion	Imazalil
Dimethomorph		initium fungicide
Dimethoxane	Ferric phosphate	(ametoctradin)
Dinocap	Flonicamid insecticide	Iodosulfuron
Dinoterb	Fluazinam	loxynil

ipconazole	Methoxyfenozide	Phosalone
Iprodione	Metrafenone	Phosmet
Isoproturon	Metribuzin	Phosphides
kixor herbicide (saflufenacil)	metsulfuron methyl	Pirimicarb
·	Milbemectin	Pirimiphos-methyl
Lavandulyl senecioate	Mitin FF	Potassium silicate
I-Cyhalothrin	mkh 3586 (amicarbazone)	Procymidone
Lindane	Molinate	Profenofos
Linuron	Monolinuron	Propamocarb
Magnate (imazalil)	Nipacide cmx	Propiconazole
Malathion	(chloroxylenol)	Propineb
Maleic hydrazide	nni-0001 (flubendiamide)	Propoxycarbazone sodium
mcm 437 (fipronil)	Nonanoic acid (CGA- 133205 Technical)	Prosulfocarb
mcpp-p (mecoprop)		Prosulfuron
Mecoprop	Oxazolidine-E	
Mecoprop-P	Oxydemeton-methyl	pyrasulfotole
mecoprop-p acid	Paraquat	Pyrazophos
Mepiquat	Parathion	Pyridalyl
	Parathion-methyl	Pyridate
Mesosulfuron-methyl	Penconazole	Pyrimethanil
Metalaxyl-M	Penflufen tc	Pyroxasulfone
Metamitron		Quinoclamine
Metazachlor	Penthiopyrad	reldan f (chlorpyrifos-
Methamidophos	Permethrin	methyl)
Methiocarb	Pethoxamid	rotam imidacloprid
Methomyl	Phorate	Salicylic acid

Sedaxane	Thiabendazole	Trichlorfon
Sethoxydim	Thiacloprid	Triclopyr
Simazine	Thiamethoxam	Trinexapac
Sodium ferric	Thidiazuron	Triphenyltin Hydroxide
ethylenediaminetetraacet ate	Thiencarbazone-methyl	Triticonazole
Sodium fluoride	Thiodicarb	Tritosulfuron
Spinosad	Thiram	Undecylenic acid
Spiromesifen	Thymol	Urea, sulfate (1:1)
Spirotetramet	Tolclofos-methyl	Vinclozolin
Sulfur	Tolyfluanid	xde-742 (pyroxsulam)
sumione (metofluthrin)	tpth (fentin)	Xemium fungicide (fluxapyroxad)
tebuconazole fungicide	Tralkoxydim	
(tebuconazole)	Triadimenol	Xylenol
Tecnazene	Triallate	Zinc pyrithione
Terbuthylazine	Triazamate	Ziram
Tetraconazole	Tribenuron methyl	Zoxamide
	Tributyltin benzoate	