





November 22, 2021

Amy Cole Deputy General Counsel Council on Environmental Quality 730 Jackson Place NW Washington, DC 20503

Docket No. CEQ-2021-0002 Submitted via *Regulations.gov*

Dear Ms. Cole:

The American ranching industry plays a pivotal role in the management of hundreds of millions of acres of both private and public lands throughout the United States. As such, the relationship between our industry and the federal government, particularly as it relates to permitting and land management decision-making, is one of the most critical to ensuring the health and resiliency of the country's remaining open spaces and pastureland. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its various applications throughout the federal government play a pivotal role in the success of that partnership. Unfortunately, it has also become one of the biggest opportunities for opponents of responsible land management to grind progress to a halt, derail otherwise common-sense decision-making, and delay federal action indefinitely across a range of issues.

The Public Lands Council (PLC), consisting of state and national cattle and sheep affiliates throughout the West representing approximately 22,000 federal grazing permit holders; the National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA), the nation's oldest and largest trade association representing cattle producers; and the American Sheep Industry Association (ASI), which is the national organization representing the interests of more than 100,000 sheep producers located throughout the United States since 1865, wish to provide our collective response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) as well as provide additional input regarding the key areas of NEPA in need of reform in order to restore this process to functioning condition and ensure its proper application in the future.

PLC, NCBA, ASI, and our undersigned affiliates (together, the "Livestock Associations") are concerned about recent efforts by the Council on Environmental Quality to rescind modernized NEPA guidelines, and generally support the previously finalized regulatory updates in the NOPR. The Livestock Associations previously submitted comments on CEQ's Advanced Notice of Preliminary Rulemaking (ANPR) on March 10, 2019, via the online portal and incorporate those comments by reference here.

NEPA has, since its creation, evolved into both the most impactful federal environmental process to the ranching industry and the most effective weapon in the arsenal of those who wish to exploit the process for nefarious means. Through relentless, process-based litigation across the range, these groups have

driven the transformation of NEPA from its original purpose – analysis of potential impacts stemming from a major federal action – into a black hole of endless and often fear-driven processes initiated by federal agencies in the hope that such analysis might prevent legal challenge to otherwise proper and appropriate science-based decision-making. Congress intended NEPA to be the formulaic standard to ensure that analysis was consistent, comprehensive, and inclusive; Congress did not envision the process seeking to address every potential avenue of inquiry in a seemingly endless and subjective loop.

After years of litigation-driven adulteration, NEPA became a time-intensive, exorbitantly-expensive endeavor that resulted in projects postponed or extended years beyond their original schedule, or in some cases derailed altogether. This is true even for routine assessments of projects with clear positive outcomes, including grazing permit renewals, range improvements, wildlife habitat restoration, fuels reduction treatments, and cooperative projects to improve ecosystem services. Obviously, this pattern runs counter to the multiple-use mission of agencies such as the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and U.S. Forest Service (USFS). Additionally, it serves as a deterrent to responsible land management decision-making both in those multiple-use agencies as well the larger goals of the Department of the Interior, Department of Agriculture, and strictly regulatory agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), to name a few. Rescinding the previously finalized updates will certainly run counter to the Livestock Associations' and the agencies' pursuit of collaborative conservation. The previously finalized guidance directed agencies to begin revisions to their own NEPA regulations, which are now underway. Further, projects under assessment in the last year may face inconsistent legal standards and expectations should the agency proceed with rescinding the guidance after such a short time.

Regulated stakeholders and the agencies implementing the law need, above all else, regulatory certainty and consistency in application of the law. The whipsawing of NEPA policy does little to further the government's mission of conserving our natural resources. In summary, the Livestock Associations would emphasize the following as key areas for CEQ to continue to improve:

- Define and enhance the use of Categorical Exclusions, where appropriate.
- Enhance and define the role of affected parties, including those with long-term contractual agreements or preference grazing rights, and adjacent landowners.
- Enhance the role of state and local governments in the NEPA process, ensuring they are brought into the process early to assist with determining the issues to be addressed and are allowed adequate time to prepare substantive comments during the administrative review period.
- Improve formulation of alternatives and establishment of baseline for analysis, including clarification of terms "continuing use" and "no action alternative."
- Ensure that socioeconomic analysis is given equal weight to environmental analysis.
- Recognize the limited expertise and resources of the agency to complete socio-economic analysis
 and encourage agencies to seek credible information available from state and local governments and
 local affected interests.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input. The Livestock Associations look forward to the opportunity to provide additional input and look forward to working with CEQ to develop policies that support collaborative and active conservation of our natural resources.

Sincerely,

Public Lands Council

National Cattlemen's Beef Association

American Sheep Industry Association

American National CattleWomen

Arizona Cattle Growers Association

Beef Alliance

California Cattlemen's Association

Colorado Cattlemen's Association

Colorado Public Lands Council

Colorado Wool Growers Association

Indiana Sheep Producers Association

Kentucky Sheep and Wool Producers

Missouri Sheep Producers

Maryland Cattlemen's Association

Montana Public Lands Council

Montana Sheep Growers Association

Minnesota Lamb and Wool Producers

Nebraska Cattlemen's Association

Nevada Cattlemen's Association

Nevada Wool Growers Association

New Mexico Cattle Growers Association

New Mexico Wool Growers Association

North Dakota Grazing Association

North Dakota Lamb and Wool Producers Association

North Dakota Stockmen's Association

Ohio Cattlemen's Association

Oklahoma Cattlemen's Association

Oregon Cattlemen's Association

Oregon Public Lands Council

South Dakota Cattlemen's Association

South Dakota Public Lands Council

Texas Cattle Feeders Association

Texas & Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association

Texas Sheep and Goat Raisers' Association

Utah Public Lands Council

Utah Wool Growers

Virginia Cattlemen's Association

Washington Cattlemen's Association

Washington State Sheep Producers

Wyoming Stock Growers Association

Wyoming Wool Growers Association Wyoming Public Lands Coalition Wyoming State Grazing Board