FINAL BILL REPORT E2SHB 1799

C 180 L 22

Synopsis as Enacted

Brief Description: Concerning organic materials management.

Sponsors: House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Fitzgibbon, Berry, Duerr, Riccelli and Harris-Talley).

House Committee on Environment & Energy House Committee on Appropriations Senate Committee on Environment, Energy & Technology Senate Committee on Ways & Means

Background:

Solid Waste, Organic Materials, and Food Waste Management.

Under Washington's solid waste management laws, local governments are the primary government entity responsible for implementing solid waste management requirements. The Department of Ecology (Ecology) also has certain roles in overseeing the administration of solid waste management laws including responsibility for working cooperatively with local governments as they develop their local solid waste management plans; evaluating, analyzing, and monitoring the state's solid waste stream; and developing a statewide solid waste plan that, in part, addresses organic material wastes.

County and city solid waste management plans are required to contain certain elements, including a waste reduction and recycling element. This element must include waste reduction strategies, recycling strategies, and source separation strategies.

Since 2019 Washington has had an established goal to reduce the annual generation of food waste by 50 percent by 2030. A subset of the goal includes a prevention goal related to edible food waste. In order to achieve the 2030 food waste reduction goal, Ecology, working with other state agencies, adopted the Use Food Well Washington plan in December 2021. The Use Food Well Washington plan contains 30 federal and state policy recommendations, including recommendations:

House Bill Report - 1 - E2SHB 1799

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

- to create a Washington Center for Sustainable Food Management with certain duties;
- related to the liability protections for persons that donate food;
- for funding or financial incentives for certain activities supportive of food waste reduction goals; and
- for changes to data management, public outreach, coordination, and new programmatic activities to be implemented by a variety of public entities.

Washington's Good Samaritan Food Donation Act.

Under Washington's Good Samaritan Food Donation Act, persons are not subject to civil or criminal liability arising from the nature, age, packaging, or condition of apparently wholesome food or an apparently fit grocery product donated in good faith to a nonprofit organization for distribution to needy individuals. This exception from civil or criminal liability does not apply to injury or death of a user or a recipient of a donation resulting from gross negligence or intentional misconduct of the donor. If some or all of donated food and grocery products do not meet all quality and labeling standards imposed by federal, state, or local laws and regulations, the donator of food is not subject to civil or criminal liability only if the nonprofit organization that receives the donated food or grocery products is informed by the donor of the condition of the donated food or grocery products, agrees to recondition the food to comply with quality and labeling standards, and is knowledgeable of the standards to properly recondition the donated food or grocery product.

<u>Local Government Development Regulations</u>.

The Growth Management Act (GMA) is the comprehensive land-use planning framework for counties and cities in Washington. The GMA establishes land-use designation and environmental protection requirements for all Washington counties and cities, and establishes a significantly wider array of planning duties for 29 counties, and the cities within those counties, that are obligated to satisfy all planning requirements of the GMA.

The GMA directs jurisdictions that fully plan under the GMA (planning jurisdictions) to adopt internally consistent comprehensive land-use plans that are generalized, coordinated land-use policy statements of the governing body. Comprehensive plans are implemented through locally adopted development regulations, both of which are subject to review and revision requirements prescribed in the GMA.

Cities and counties that are not subject to the GMA may adopt comprehensive plans, zoning ordinances, and other official controls under the state's optional planning enabling statutes.

Compost Procurement Requirements.

Since 2020 state agencies and local governments have been required to consider whether compost products can be used when planning or soliciting and reviewing bids for government-funded projects. If compost products can be utilized in the project, the agency or local government must do so, except if:

• compost products are not available within a reasonable amount of time;

House Bill Report - 2 - E2SHB 1799

- compost products that are available do not meet existing purchasing standards or federal or state health and safety standards; or
- compost purchase prices are not reasonable or competitive.

In 2020 the Legislature directed the Department of Agriculture (Agriculture) to create a three-year compost reimbursement program for certain farming operations' expenses related to purchasing and using compost products. This three-year reimbursement program was vetoed by Governor Inslee.

Generally, purchases of or contracts for goods and services must be based on a competitive solicitation process, unless a specific exemption applies. When local governments are required to make purchases from the lowest bidder or from the supplier offering the lowest price, they may take into consideration tax revenue they would receive from purchasing supplies, materials, or equipment from a supplier located within their jurisdiction. Local governments may, however, allow for the preferential purchase of products made from recycled materials or products that may be recycled or reused.

Product Degradability Labeling Requirements.

Products that are labeled as compostable and sold, offered for sale, or distributed for use in Washington by a manufacturer or supplier, must:

- either be comprised of only wood or fiber-based substrate, or must meet the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards for products designed to be composted in municipal or industrial facilities that are made of plastic or that incorporate plastic coatings onto paper or another substrate;
- meet Federal Trade Commission (FTC) green guide labeling requirements; and
- feature labeling that uses a logo indicating that the product has been third-party
 certified as meeting ASTM standard specification, displays the word "compostable,"
 and meets industry standards for being distinguishable upon quick inspection.
 Compostable products must be considered compliant if they have green or brown
 labeling, are labeled as compostable, and use other distinguishing colors or marks.

Manufacturers or suppliers of film bags that meet ASTM composting standards for plastics and that are distributed or sold by retailers must ensure that the bag is readily and easily identifiable in a manner consistent with the FTC green guides. Readily and easily identifiable film bags are those of a uniform green or brown color or that meet certain other specified requirements regarding the size, location, and color of the word "compostable" on the bag. Film bags that meet ASTM composting standards are prohibited from displaying recycling symbols, such as the "chasing arrow" symbol.

Manufacturers or suppliers of food service products and film products, other than film bags, that meet ASTM composting standards for plastics or plastic-coated substrates must ensure that the items are readily and easily identifiable. Readily and easily identifiable film wrap and food service products are those labeled with a logo indicating the product has met ASTM standards, and labeled with the word "compostable," where possible. Compostable

House Bill Report - 3 - E2SHB 1799

products must be considered compliant if they have green or brown labeling, are labeled as compostable, and use other distinguishing colors or marks. Specified additional characteristics, such as color and graphic elements, are encouraged for compostable film wrap and food packaging and food service ware. To the extent that these product labeling requirements conflict with the FTC guides, manufacturers or suppliers are not required to comply.

Food service products and film products, including film bags, that do not meet ASTM standards are:

- prohibited from using tinting, labeling, and terms that are required of products that meet ASTM standards;
- discouraged from using coloration, labeling, images, and terms that confuse customers into mistakenly identifying noncompostable products as compostable; and
- encouraged to use identifying features that indicate whether a product is recyclable or must be disposed of as waste.

Manufacturers and suppliers, upon request by a person, must provide non-confidential business information demonstrating their compliance with labeling and marketing requirements.

The suppliers subject to product degradability labeling requirements do not include entities that sell products to end users as a retailer.

The Attorney General, cities, and counties have concurrent authority to enforce labeling and marketing requirements. Violations occur upon the sale of a noncompliant product by stockkeeping number or unique item number, but repeated sales of the same product constitute a single violation. Prior to assessing a violation, a city, county, or the state, on behalf of the Attorney General, must send written notice to a manufacturer or supplier of an alleged violation, providing 90 days to come into compliance. Civil penalties of up to \$1,000 are authorized for an initial violation, followed by \$5,000 for a second violation, and \$10,000 for a third violation. Second, third, and subsequent penalties may be imposed for each month of noncompliance. Penalty amounts are reduced by the amount of any penalty paid for the same violation to a different enforcing government entity. Penalties collected by local governments are retained by the local government office that brought the action, while penalties collected through actions by the Attorney General are deposited into the Compostable Products Revolving Account used to fund enforcement actions brought by the Attorney General.

Other.

In 2020 the Washington State Conservation Commission (Commission) was directed to develop a Sustainable Farms and Fields Grant Program in consultation with other specified government entities. The Commission is required to develop and approve a prioritization metric to guide the distribution of funds appropriated by the Legislature for this purpose, with the goal of producing cost-effective carbon dioxide equivalent impact benefits.

House Bill Report - 4 - E2SHB 1799

Allowable uses of grant funds from the Sustainable Farms and Fields Grant Program include down payments on equipment, purchases of equipment, and services to landowners.

The Public Works Board may make low-interest loans or grants from the Public Works Assistance Account (PWAA) to finance the acquisition, construction, repair, replacement, or improvement of certain local public works projects, which include bridges, streets, and roads; water systems; storm and sanitary sewage systems; and solid waste facilities, including recycling facilities. Up to 10 percent of the PWAA capital budget appropriation may be provided for grants. Local governments and special purpose districts, except port and school districts, are eligible to apply for loans from the PWAA.

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) establishes a review process for state and local governments to identify environmental impacts that may result from governmental decisions, such as the issuance of permits or the adoption of land use plans. The SEPA environmental review process involves a project proponent or the lead agency completing an environmental checklist to identify and evaluate probable environmental impacts. If an initial review of the checklist and supporting documents results in a determination that the government decision has a probable significant adverse environmental impact (threshold determination), the proposal must undergo a more comprehensive environmental analysis in the form of an environmental impact statement (EIS).

The Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB) is an appeals board with jurisdiction to hear appeals of certain decisions, orders, and penalties issued by Ecology and several other state agencies. Parties aggrieved by a PCHB decision may obtain subsequent judicial review. Penalties appealable to the PCHB must generally be imposed following standard general protocols, including that the penalty must be accompanied by a notice in writing describing the violation, and specifying when the penalty must be appealed or else becomes due and payable. With some exceptions, penalties that are appealable to the PCHB are credited to the State General Fund.

The Department of Agriculture is required to maintain an information and referral service for persons and organizations that want to participate in food donation programs.

Summary:

State Organic Materials Management Goals.

Goals are established for organic material management (state organics goals), including a goal for the landfill disposal of organic materials at a level in 2030 that is 75 percent less than in 2015, and a goal that at least 20 percent of the volume of edible food disposed of as of 2015 be recovered for human consumption by 2025. The state organics goals are in addition to the food waste reduction goals established in 2019.

Organic materials are specified to include manure, yard waste, food waste, food processing wastes, wood wastes, and garden waste. Organic materials do not include chemically or

House Bill Report - 5 - E2SHB 1799

biologically contaminated materials that would render the finished product of an organic material management process, such as composting or anaerobic digestion, unsuitable for general public or agricultural use.

Organic Materials Management Requirements for Businesses.

Beginning July 1, 2023, the Department of Ecology (Ecology) must determine which counties and cities preparing solid waste plans provide for businesses to be serviced by providers that collect food waste and organic waste for delivery to solid waste facilities that provide for composting, anaerobic digestion, vermiculture, black soldier fly, or similar technologies to manage those collected organic wastes (organic materials management). Ecology must determine that organics material collection service requirements apply to certain businesses within a local jurisdiction unless Ecology determines that businesses in some or all portions of the county have no available organic materials collection services that deliver organic materials for organic materials management, or there is no available capacity at solid waste facilities to which organic materials could feasibly and economically be delivered.

In jurisdictions or portions of jurisdictions subject to these organic material collection and management requirements, the requirements apply:

- beginning January 1, 2024, to businesses that generate at least 8 cubic yards of organic waste per week;
- beginning January 1, 2025, to businesses that generate at least 4 cubic yards of organic waste per week; and
- beginning January 1, 2026, to businesses that generate at least 4 cubic yards of solid
 waste per week. However, Ecology may determine by rule that additional reductions
 in the landfilling of organic materials would be more effectively achieved at
 reasonable cost to businesses by establishing a different volumetric threshold than 4
 cubic yards per week of solid waste.

Waste volumes are measured by counting only wastes that are not:

- managed on-site by a business;
- managed off-site by a business engaged in the growth of fiber or food;
- generated in exceptional volumes due to a natural disaster or similar event; or
- managed by a business that enters into a voluntary agreement with another business to sell or donate organic materials for off-site use.

Businesses may satisfy the organic materials management requirements by source-separating organic waste from other waste and subscribing to and using an organic materials collection and management service, or managing organic waste on-site, self-hauling organic waste for organic materials management, or entering into voluntary agreements to sell or donate organic materials from the growth, harvest, or processing of food or fiber. Businesses subject to these requirements that arrange for gardening or landscaping services must require through contracts or work agreements that wastes generated by those services receive qualifying types of organics materials management. Businesses are not required to

House Bill Report - 6 - E2SHB 1799

dispose of materials in a manner that conflicts with safety or health laws, and are not required to dispose of wastes generated in exceptional volumes from natural disasters through composting, digestion, or other organic material management options. Jurisdictional health departments may enforce these requirements on businesses, but must issue two written notices prior to issuing penalties, and may not charge businesses a fee associated with the administration and enforcement of these requirements.

Local Government Organic Material Collection and Management Requirements. Beginning January 1, 2027, each county or city that implements a local solid waste plan must provide source-separated organic solid waste collection services at least either biweekly or 26 weeks annually to all residents and to non-residential customers that generate at least 0.25 cubic yards of organic materials per week, and must provide for organic materials management of collected organic materials. Cities and counties may

charge and collect fees or rates for these services, consistent with existing authority to impose fees and rates for solid waste collection services. The organic material collection service and management requirements do not apply:

- in counties and cities implementing a solid waste plan that have a population of less than 25,000;
- in counties and cities implementing a solid waste plan that have a population of between 25,000 and 50,000, and that did not offer curbside organic materials collection services anywhere in the county as of July 1, 2022;
- in counties and cities implementing a solid waste plan that disposed of less than 5,000 tons of solid waste in the most recent year such data were available;
- in counties not planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA), in portions of a city or county implementing a solid waste plan that have a population density of less than 75 people per square mile and are located in an unincorporated portion of a county;
- in counties planning under the GMA, outside of designated urban growth areas; or
- in counties and cities implementing a solid waste plan that receive a renewable waiver from Ecology applicable to all or part of a jurisdiction. Waivers may be issued for up to five years and based on consideration of the distance to organic materials management facilities, the sufficiency of capacity at local organic materials management facilities to manage additional materials, and pest control restrictions established by the Department of Agriculture (Agriculture). Ecology may adopt rules to establish the waiver process and criteria.

Any cities that newly begin implementing an independent solid waste plan after July 1, 2022, must meet organic material collection requirements.

Ecology may adopt a rule beginning January 1, 2030, that applies organic materials collection service and management requirements to jurisdictions exempted from requirements on the basis of population density or an Ecology-issued waiver, but only if Ecology determines that the state goals for the disposal of organic material in landfills for 2030 have not or will not be achieved. When newly developing, updating, or amending

- 7 -E2SHB 1799 House Bill Report

solid waste management plans after July 1, 2024, each local solid waste plan must consider the transition to providing organic materials collection services to certain residents and businesses in 2027. Solid waste plans implemented by a county must also identify:

- priority areas within the county for the establishment of organic materials
 management facilities. Priority areas must be in industrial, agricultural, or rural zones
 and may not be located in overburdened communities identified by Ecology; and
- the organic materials management volumetric capacity required to manage the county's organic materials in a manner consistent with state organics goals.

Jurisdictions implementing local solid waste management plans may not site the increase or expansion of an existing organic materials management facility that processed more than 200,000 tons of material relative to 2019 levels, except that this limitation does not apply to anaerobic digesters.

When newly developing, updating, or amending local solid waste management plans after January 1, 2027, local solid waste plans must be consistent with the requirement to provide organic materials collection services to certain residents and businesses.

Local Development Regulations and Organic Materials Management Facility Siting. For cities and counties planning under either the GMA or planning enabling statutes, development regulations to implement comprehensive plans that are newly developed, updated, or amended after January 1, 2025, must allow for the siting of organic materials management facilities in the areas designated in county solid waste plans to the extent necessary to provide for the establishment of the organic materials management volumetric capacity identified as part of the county solid waste plan.

Local Government Compost Procurement Requirements, Plans, and Ordinances. By January 1, 2023, cities and counties with a population of at least 25,000, or in which organic material collection services are provided, must adopt a compost procurement ordinance to implement the 2020 requirement for local governments to consider the use of compost products in projects and to use compost products in a project except when availability, health, quality, safety, or price-competitive criteria are not met. In developing a compost procurement ordinance, cities and counties must consider four specified categories of compost uses, including landscaping projects and soil amendments. Cities and counties with a compost procurement ordinance must develop strategies to inform residents regarding the jurisdiction's use of compost and the value of compost. Local governments must give priority to purchasing compost products that produce compost locally, are certified by a nationally recognized organization, that produce products derived from municipal solid waste compost programs, and that meet quality standards. Local governments may enter into collective purchasing agreements if cost-effective or efficient to do so. Contracts by governmental units must require the use of compost products to the maximum extent economically feasible to meet local government compost use requirements. Every two years beginning in 2024, cities and counties with a compost procurement ordinance must submit a report to Ecology.

House Bill Report - 8 - E2SHB 1799

Local governments may allow for the preferential purchase of compost in order to meet the requirements for local governments to use compost products where products are available, reasonably priced, and meet purchasing and safety standards.

Civil and Criminal Liability Standards for Food Donations.

The civil and criminal liability protections that apply to food donated to nonprofit organizations are expanded and amended to apply to:

- food donated directly to end recipients for consumption by a person required to have a food establishment permit under Department of Health rules (including grocery stores and restaurants);
- the donation of perishable food that is fit for human consumption but that has
 exceeded the labeled shelf-life date recommended by the manufacturer, if the person
 donating the food makes a good faith evaluation that the food is wholesome; and
- donated food and grocery products that meet safety and safety-related labeling standards, rather than all quality and labeling standards. Safety and safety-related labeling standards are defined to include markings that communicate information to a customer about a product's safety, but which do not include pull dates required under state law or similar phrases intended to communicate information to a customer regarding the freshness or quality of a product.

Washington Center for Sustainable Food Management.

The Washington Center for Sustainable Food Management (center) is established in Ecology with the purpose of helping coordinate statewide food waste reduction. The center is authorized to perform 13 specified categories of activities, including:

- measuring progress towards statewide organic materials management and food waste reduction goals;
- coordinating implementation of food waste reduction plans;
- maintaining a website with food waste reduction guidance;
- coordinating public-private and nonprofit partnerships, and collaborating with federal, state, and local government partners;
- developing maps or lists of locations of food systems in Washington;
- collecting and maintaining data on food waste and wasted food and measurement methodologies for tracking food donation, food waste, and climate impact data;
- distributing and monitoring grants related to food waste prevention, rescue, and recovery;
- supporting the adoption of local government solid waste ordinances or policies that
 establish a financial disincentive for organic waste generation and for the disposal of
 organic materials in landfills; and
- developing food waste reduction and food waste contamination reduction campaigns and promotional materials for destruction.

Ecology may enter into interagency agreements with other state agencies to fulfill the center's responsibilities. Ecology may adopt rules pertaining to the center. In order to

House Bill Report - 9 - E2SHB 1799

obtain data to support the center's goals, Ecology may establish a voluntary reporting protocol for the receipt of information regarding food donations. Ecology may request that a donating business or food recipient provide information regarding the volume, types, and timing of food managed by the donating facility. Ecology must seek to obtain this information in a manner that is compatible with any similar information reported to Agriculture, and Agriculture must coordinate with Ecology to ensure that the food donation information receipt practices it implements are consistent with the center's practices.

By January 1, 2025, Ecology must research and adopt model ordinances for optional use by cities and counties. The optional model ordinances must provide model mechanisms for commercial solid waste collection and disposal that are designed to establish a financial disincentive or other disincentives for the generation of organic waste and the disposal of organic materials in landfills. Ecology must review the model ordinances under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA); a county or city that then adopts a model ordinance created and reviewed under SEPA by Ecology is not required to do its own SEPA review of the ordinance.

Funding Programs for Organic Materials Management.

Composting and organic materials management facilities are explicitly included among the solid waste facilities that may be funded as public works projects by the Public Works Board from the Public Works Assistance Account.

The allowable uses of grant funds under the Sustainable Farms and Fields Grant Program are expanded to include:

- the purchase of compost spreading equipment, or financial assistance to farmers to
 purchase compost spreading equipment, for the annual use for at least three years of
 volumes of compost determined to be significant by the Washington State
 Conservation Commission, from materials composted at a site not owned or operated
 by the farmer;
- scientific studies on crop residue; and
- efforts to support farm use of anaerobic digester digestate.

Agriculture must establish a compost reimbursement program for farming operations in Washington for the purchase and use of compost products that were not generated by the farming operation. Costs covered by the compost reimbursement program must include transportation, spreading equipment, and labor, fuel, and maintenance costs associated with spreading equipment. Grant reimbursements under the compost reimbursement program begin July 1, 2023. Prior to carrying out activities for which compost reimbursement program reimbursement is sought, farming operations must complete an eligibility review with Agriculture. Agriculture must review proposed transport and application of compost materials for compliance with state pest control rules. Soil sampling by Agriculture must be allowed by compost reimbursement program participants. Compost reimbursement program applicants may not seek reimbursement for purchase or labor costs for its own compost products, from compost products transferred to another individual or entity, or

House Bill Report - 10 - E2SHB 1799

from compost products that were not purchased from a facility with a solid waste handling permit. Farming operations are eligible to receive reimbursement for up to 50 percent of their costs incurred each fiscal year, in an amount up to \$10,000 per fiscal year. Agriculture must submit an annual report to the Legislature each year of the pilot program.

Product Degradability Labeling Requirements.

Product degradability labeling standards are amended to:

- require compostable products that meet American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards to use green, brown, or beige labeling, color striping, or other marks that help differentiate compostable items from non-compostable materials;
- allow film bags to be tinted beige, in addition to green or brown; and
- require compostable food service products and film products to be at least partially tinted or colored green, beige, or brown, or to contain other specified markings.

The entities subject to product degradability labeling requirements are redefined to newly include entities that sell products to end users as a retailer. Responsibility under the law as a producer is assigned to the manufacturer, to the product brand or trademark licensee, or, if there is not a product manufacturer or brand or trademark licensee over whom the state can exercise jurisdiction, to the importer or distributor of the product in or into Washington.

State enforcement responsibility for product degradability labeling requirements is transferred from the Office of the Attorney General to Ecology. Ecology may adopt rules pertaining to product degradability labeling requirements, and must begin enforcing requirements by July 1, 2024. Enforcement by Ecology and cities and counties must be based primarily on complaints, and Ecology must establish a forum to receive complaints. Producers of compostable products, including bags, film products, and food service products, must submit at least one compliance declaration to Ecology beginning in 2024 upon a product being sold or distributed into Washington, and again prior to any change in a product's method of compliance with compost labeling requirements. Ecology, in collaboration with cities and counties, must provide education and outreach to retail establishments, consumers, and suppliers, about product degradability labeling requirements.

Ecology-issued penalties are appealable to the Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB), and Ecology-issued penalties must be imposed consistent with standard protocols for penalties that are appealable to the PCHB. The Compostable Products Revolving Account is repealed, and state penalty recoveries are credited to the State General Fund.

Other.

Ecology must contract with a third-party consultant to study the adequacy of local solid waste management funding. Ecology must include specified stakeholders in scoping the study and reviewing the consultant's findings, and must submit a report to the Legislature by July 1, 2023. The study must:

• consider jurisdiction type, location, size, service level, and other differences between

House Bill Report - 11 - E2SHB 1799

cities and counties;

- review funding types and funding models, including models used by solid waste programs in jurisdictions outside of Washington; and
- consider the impacts to city and county solid waste funding resources from specified types of state policy changes that have been enacted or considered in the past four years.

An intent section is included.

A severability clause is included.

Votes on Final Passage:

House 56 42

Senate 34 14 (Senate amended) House 57 40 (House concurred)

Effective: June 9, 2022